UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/580,112	01/12/2007	Hiroshi Nakano	056205.57746US	7497
23911 CROWELL & I	7590 12/18/200 MORING LLP	EXAMINER		
	AL PROPERTY GRO	PATEL, HARSHAD R		
P.O. BOX 1430 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20044-4300		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2855	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/18/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/580,112	NAKANO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	HARSHAD PATEL	2855				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Oc	ctober 2008.					
·= · ·						
· =						
closed in accordance with the practice under E						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
	olosion roquiromonii					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ∐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) 🗖 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal Pa					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)					

Application/Control Number: 10/580,112 Page 2

Art Unit: 2855

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 10/20/08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Regarding the amendment to §112 rejection, applicant has failed to amend claims 2 and 3 where "said second resistor" still raises the antecedent basis rejection. Furthermore, the arguments that the combination does not teach the claimed invention where Matsumara does not teach that the temperature sensor measures the temperature of the pipe wall or the fluid. It is noticed that the temperature sensor is (61) named as a chip temperature sensor is nothing more than a temperature sensor for measuring the ambient temperature forth e fluid for use for error compensation in the flow measurement.

Claim Objections

1. Claim 6 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Claim 6 is a duplicate of claim 5 as now amended.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. Claim 1, it is unclear as to whether the temperature control circuit and the heating temperature control means are different or the same elements.
- 5. Claims 2 and 3, "said second resistor" lacks antecedent basis.
- 6. Claim 4, "said flow rate detecting means" lacks antecedent basis.

Application/Control Number: 10/580,112 Page 3

Art Unit: 2855

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Horie et al. (6,935,172) in view of Matsumura et al. (6,769,298) (hereinafter Horie or Matsumura). Horie teaches a thermal flowmeter of a fluid, comprising: a flow rate measuring element disposed in a fluid passage and including a heating resistor (HF) generating heat with supply of a current, a temperature compensation resistor (CF) for detecting a fluid temperature, a first temperature measuring resistor (Ru) for measuring a temperature upstream of said heating resistor, and a second temperature measuring resistor (Rd) for measuring a temperature downstream of said heating resistor, all of said resistors being formed on the same substrate; a temperature control circuit for controlling a temperature of said heating resistor, a computing unit for receiving signals corresponding to a fluid flow rate from said first and second temperature detecting resistors, performing correction depending on temperature by using said temperature sensor, and outputting the corrected result; and heating temperature control means causing a difference between the fluid temperature and the temperature of said heating resistor to be changed depending on the fluid temperature. Horie teaches a fixed resistor (7) in series with the temperature compensation resistor (Fig. 8). Horie doe not explicitly teach a casing supported to a wall of the intake pipe and a temperature sensor for measuring the temperature of the casing. Matsumura teaches a casing (13) supported to a wall surface of an intake pipe forming the fluid passage and supporting a flow rate measuring element and a temperature sensor for measuring a

Art Unit: 2855

temperature in said casing. It would be obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the temperature sensor of Matsumura in the device of Horie since it would help adjust and correct the temperature dependent errors in the signal processing unit. As to having different resistance temperature coefficient for the heating resistor and the temperature compensation resistor, it would be an inherent feature as to have such a difference since a hating resistor should have a different resistance coefficient since it is to be heated when the current is applied to it, where as the temperature compensation resistor is to sense the temperature. It would be within the scope of a skilled individual to determine the resistance temperature coefficient for each resistor based on the functional characteristics of the resistor. Arranging the resistors in the circuit to achieve a known result would be within the scope of a skilled individual.

Conclusion

2. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/580,112 Page 5

Art Unit: 2855

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HARSHAD PATEL whose telephone number is (571)272-2187. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (6:30 AM-5:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Lefkowitz can be reached on (571) 272-2180. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Harshad Patel/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2855 12/15/08